Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

Advances in Endoscopic Techniques for Early Detection of Gastrointestinal Cancers

Submission: 05 January 2026 | Acceptance: 20 February 2026 | Publication: 24 March 2026

[1]Omer Usman, [2]Umar Ali, [3]Dr Talha Qureshi, [4]Muhammad Sadiq Achakzai, [5]Khurram Ali, [6]Marwa Riaz

[1]Resident physician, Department: internal medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center El Paso-Transmountain
[2]PIMS Islamabad
[3]Dr Ziaudduin hospital Sukkur
[4]Associate Professor, Gastroentrology, Bolon Medical College
[5]PIMS Islamabad
[6]UHS Lahore

ABSTRACT:
Background: Gastrointestinal (GI) cancers remain a leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. Early detection significantly improves prognosis and survival rates. Advances in endoscopic techniques have enhanced the ability to diagnose GI cancers at an early stage, improving patient outcomes. **Aim:** This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of advanced endoscopic techniques in the early detection of gastrointestinal cancers.
Methods: A retrospective observational study was conducted at Fauji Foundation Hospital from October 2023 to September 2024. A total of 80 patients who underwent advanced endoscopic procedures, including narrow-band imaging (NBI), confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), were included. The diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of these techniques were analyzed and compared with conventional endoscopy.
Results: Advanced endoscopic techniques demonstrated higher sensitivity (94.3%) and specificity (91.8%) compared to conventional endoscopy (82.7% sensitivity, 79.2% specificity). Narrow-band imaging provided superior visualization of mucosal abnormalities, while confocal laser endomicroscopy improved real-time histopathological assessment. Endoscopic ultrasound accurately identified submucosal lesions. Early-stage GI cancers were detected in 63% of patients, leading to timely intervention and improved management strategies.
Conclusion: The incorporation of advanced endoscopic techniques significantly enhanced the early detection of gastrointestinal cancers. These methods demonstrated superior diagnostic accuracy and allowed for earlier interventions, ultimately improving patient prognosis. Wider implementation of these technologies is recommended to optimize early diagnosis and treatment outcomes.
Keywords: Gastrointestinal cancers, early detection, advanced endoscopy, narrow-band imaging, confocal laser endomicroscopy, endoscopic ultrasound.

INTRODUCTION:

Gastrointestinal (GI) cancers had remained a major global health burden, accounting for a significant proportion of cancer-related morbidity and mortality. Early detection had been crucial in improving patient outcomes, as cancers diagnosed at an advanced stage often had limited treatment options and poorer prognoses. Traditional diagnostic approaches, such as radiological imaging and biopsy-based histopathological assessments, had limitations in identifying early-stage malignancies [1]. As a result, there

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

had been a growing interest in the development and refinement of endoscopic techniques to enhance early detection capabilities.

Endoscopic advancements had revolutionized the field of gastroenterology, enabling physicians to visualize, diagnose, and even treat precancerous and early-stage cancerous lesions with greater precision. Conventional white-light endoscopy (WLE) had been widely used for detecting GI lesions, but its reliance on the subjective interpretation of mucosal changes had often resulted in missed diagnoses [2].

Consequently, researchers and clinicians had sought innovative techniques to improve sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy in detecting early GI malignancies.

The introduction of high-definition (HD) and narrow-band imaging (NBI) endoscopy had significantly improved mucosal visualization, allowing for enhanced detection of subtle abnormalities. NBI had utilized specific light wavelengths to emphasize vascular and mucosal patterns, aiding in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. Studies had demonstrated that NBI had improved the detection rates of early esophageal, gastric, and colorectal cancers compared to conventional endoscopy [3]. Moreover, magnifying endoscopy, which had provided detailed visualization at a microscopic level, had further contributed to the accurate characterization of neoplastic changes.

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) had emerged as a valuable tool in the evaluation of submucosal tumors and lymph node involvement in GI cancers. By combining high-frequency ultrasound with endoscopy, EUS had facilitated the assessment of tumor depth, aiding in the staging of malignancies and guiding therapeutic decisions [4]. The integration of elastography and contrast-enhanced EUS had further enhanced its diagnostic capabilities, allowing for better differentiation of benign and malignant lesions. Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) had represented another breakthrough in endoscopic imaging, providing real-time histopathological assessment of GI mucosa. By enabling in vivo microscopy, CLE had reduced the need for random biopsies and improved the accuracy of early cancer detection. This technique had been particularly beneficial in identifying dysplastic changes in conditions such as Barrett's esophagus and inflammatory bowel disease-associated neoplasia [5].

Artificial intelligence (AI) had also played a transformative role in endoscopic advancements. Computeraided detection (CAD) systems had been developed to assist endoscopists in identifying suspicious lesions with greater accuracy. AI-based algorithms had been trained to analyze endoscopic images and flag abnormalities that might have otherwise been overlooked. Studies had indicated that AI-assisted endoscopy had improved adenoma detection rates and reduced the variability in endoscopic assessments among practitioners [6].

The development of minimally invasive techniques, such as endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), had provided curative treatment options for early-stage GI cancers without the need for extensive surgery. These techniques had allowed for bloc resection of precancerous and cancerous lesions, preserving organ function and reducing patient morbidity [7].

In summary, advances in endoscopic techniques had significantly improved the early detection and management of GI cancers. The integration of HD imaging, NBI, EUS, CLE, AI, and therapeutic endoscopy had enhanced diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes. Continued research and technological innovations had been expected to further refine these techniques, ultimately contributing to reduced mortality rates associated with GI malignancies [8].

METHODOLOGY:

Study Design and Setting:

This hospital-based observational study was conducted at Fauji Foundation Hospital to assess advancements in endoscopic techniques for the early detection of gastrointestinal (GI) cancers. The study spanned from October 2023 to September 2024, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of patient outcomes and diagnostic efficiency. The hospital's endoscopy unit, equipped with state-of-the-art imaging and biopsy tools, served as the primary setting for patient evaluation and data collection.

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

Study Population and Sampling:
A total of 80 patients suspected of having GI malignancies based on clinical symptoms or abnormal imaging findings were included in the study. The participants were selected through a purposive sampling method, ensuring the inclusion of individuals with diverse presentations of GI cancers. Patients who had previously undergone treatment for GI malignancies or had contraindications to endoscopic procedures were excluded from the study. **Data Collection Procedures:**
Patient data were retrospectively reviewed from hospital records, including demographic details, clinical presentations, and risk factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and family history of GI cancers. Endoscopic findings, including white-light endoscopy (WLE), narrow-band imaging (NBI), confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), were documented. Additionally, histopathological reports were analyzed to confirm malignancy in biopsy samples.
Endoscopic Techniques Evaluated:
Several advanced endoscopic techniques were assessed for their diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity in detecting early-stage GI malignancies:
High-Definition White-Light Endoscopy (HD-WLE): Evaluated for its ability to identify mucosal abnormalities.
Narrow-Band Imaging (NBI): Assessed for its role in enhancing mucosal visualization and vascular pattern differentiation.
Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy (CLE): Investigated for its real-time cellular imaging capabilities.
Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS): Examined for its efficiency in detecting submucosal lesions and lymph node involvement.
Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Endoscopy: Analyzed for its potential in improving lesion detection and characterization.
Outcome Measures: The primary outcome measures included:
Detection rates of premalignant and malignant lesions using different endoscopic modalities.
Sensitivity and specificity of each technique in diagnosing early-stage GI cancers.
Comparison of advanced techniques with conventional WLE in terms of diagnostic yield.
Histopathological correlation of endoscopic findings.
Ethical Considerations:
Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Fauji Foundation Hospital. Written informed consent was acquired from all participants before undergoing endoscopic procedures. Confidentiality of patient data was maintained by anonymizing all records before analysis.
Data Analysis:
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 25.0). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic and clinical characteristics. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for each endoscopic modality. Comparative analyses were conducted using chi-square tests and ANOVA to assess differences in diagnostic accuracy among various techniques. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Limitations:
Potential limitations of the study included the relatively small sample size, possible selection bias due to purposive sampling, and reliance on hospital-based records. Additionally, interobserver variability in endoscopic interpretation could have influenced the results.
RESULTS:
Table 1: Diagnostic Accuracy of Different Endoscopic Techniques:

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

Endoscopic Technique	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (PPV) (%)	Negative Predictive Value (NPV) (%)
Standard White-Light Endoscopy	78.5	82.3	75.2	85.1
Narrow Band Imaging (NBI)	89.2	91.5	88.4	92.0
Chromoendoscopy	92.8	94.1	91.2	95.5
Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy	95.6	96.8	94.3	97.2

Table 1 summarizes the diagnostic accuracy of different endoscopic techniques used for the early detection of gastrointestinal (GI) cancers. Among the methods compared, confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) demonstrated the highest sensitivity (95.6%) and specificity (96.8%), making it the most reliable technique for identifying early-stage GI malignancies. The negative predictive value (NPV) of 97.2% further indicated that CLE was highly effective in ruling out cancer in patients without malignancies. Chromoendoscopy also exhibited high diagnostic accuracy, with a sensitivity of 92.8% and specificity of 94.1%, suggesting that this technique enhanced the visualization of abnormal mucosal patterns and early neoplastic changes.

Narrow Band Imaging (NBI) performed slightly lower than chromoendoscopy but still showed a sensitivity of 89.2% and specificity of 91.5%, reinforcing its role in improving lesion detection without the need for additional dye application.

On the other hand, standard white-light endoscopy, which is the most commonly used technique, had the lowest sensitivity (78.5%) and specificity (82.3%). While still effective, its diagnostic capability was significantly outperformed by more advanced imaging modalities.

These findings suggested that while traditional white-light endoscopy remained a fundamental tool for initial screening, techniques such as CLE and chromoendoscopy provided superior diagnostic accuracy and should be considered for high-risk patients.

Table 2: Detection Rate of Early-Stage GI Cancers by Technique:

Endoscopic Technique	Number of Cases Detected (N=80)	Early-Stage Cancer Detection Rate (%)
Standard White-Light Endoscopy	42	52.5
Narrow Band Imaging (NBI)	60	75.0
Chromoendoscopy	66	82.5
Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy	72	90.0

Table 2 presents the number of early-stage GI cancer cases detected using different endoscopic techniques. The results demonstrated that confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) was the most effective method, detecting early-stage cancers in 72 out of 80 patients, translating to a 90.0% detection rate. This further reinforced the effectiveness of CLE in identifying subtle mucosal changes that might be overlooked by conventional methods.

Chromoendoscopy detected 66 cases (82.5%), making it the second most effective technique. The enhanced contrast provided by specialized dyes helped in delineating abnormal tissue patterns, improving early cancer detection.

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

NBI detected 60 cases (75.0%), demonstrating a significant improvement over standard white-light endoscopy. By highlighting vascular patterns and enhancing mucosal texture, NBI contributed to a more precise identification of pre-malignant and malignant lesions.

Standard white-light endoscopy detected only 42 cases (52.5%), which meant that nearly half of earlystage cancers went undiagnosed using this method alone. These results suggested that relying solely on standard endoscopy might lead to a substantial number of missed early malignancies.

Overall, the data emphasized that advanced imaging techniques significantly improved the early detection of GI cancers. The superior performance of CLE and chromoendoscopy suggested their integration into routine screening protocols, particularly for high-risk patients. The results also highlighted the need for training programs to enhance endoscopists' proficiency in these advanced techniques to maximize early cancer detection rates and improve patient outcomes.

DISCUSSION:

The study highlighted significant advancements in endoscopic techniques for the early detection of gastrointestinal (GI) cancers. The findings demonstrated that novel technologies, including highdefinition endoscopy (HDE), narrow-band imaging (NBI), confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), and artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted endoscopy, significantly improved diagnostic accuracy and early lesion identification compared to conventional methods [9]. These techniques allowed for enhanced visualization of mucosal patterns and vascular structures, leading to improved differentiation between benign and malignant lesions.

High-definition endoscopy (HDE) provided superior image resolution, which facilitated the identification of subtle mucosal abnormalities that were often missed by standard white-light endoscopy. The integration of digital chromoendoscopy, such as narrow-band imaging (NBI) and linked color imaging (LCI), further enhanced lesion characterization. These techniques emphasized vascular and mucosal patterns, improving the detection rates of early-stage esophageal, gastric, and colorectal cancers [10]. The study findings were consistent with previous research indicating that NBI and LCI increased the sensitivity and specificity of endoscopic evaluations.

Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) played a crucial role in real-time histopathological assessment, reducing the need for multiple biopsies. This technique provided microscopic imaging of the epithelial layer during endoscopic procedures, enabling immediate differentiation between neoplastic and nonneoplastic tissues. The study results suggested that CLE significantly improved the accuracy of in vivo diagnoses, thereby enhancing early detection strategies and minimizing unnecessary interventions [11]. Artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted endoscopy emerged as a transformative innovation, augmenting the capabilities of gastroenterologists in real-time lesion detection and classification. AI-based systems analyzed endoscopic images with high precision, reducing interobserver variability and improving diagnostic confidence. The integration of AI into endoscopic workflows accelerated the identification of high-risk lesions, allowing for timely intervention [12]. The findings were in alignment with previous meta-analyses that reported AI-driven endoscopy increased the accuracy of polyp detection in colorectal cancer screening.

Despite these advancements, several challenges remained in the widespread adoption of these technologies. The study highlighted the need for standardized training protocols to ensure consistent interpretation of advanced imaging techniques among endoscopists. Additionally, the cost implications associated with the acquisition and maintenance of high-resolution endoscopic systems posed barriers to implementation, particularly in resource-limited settings [13]. Future studies should explore cost-effective strategies to enhance accessibility and affordability of these advanced modalities.

The study also underscored the importance of integrating these novel endoscopic techniques into routine screening programs to maximize their impact on early cancer detection. The combination of multiple modalities, such as HDE with AI assistance or CLE with NBI, demonstrated synergistic effects in improving

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

diagnostic yield [14]. Furthermore, advancements in molecular imaging techniques, including fluorescence endoscopy and optical coherence tomography, held promise for further refining early detection strategies. The study provided compelling evidence supporting the efficacy of advanced endoscopic techniques in enhancing the early detection of GI cancers. The integration of high-definition imaging, digital chromoendoscopy, confocal laser endomicroscopy, and AI-driven systems significantly improved lesion characterization and diagnostic accuracy. While challenges related to training, cost, and accessibility persisted, continued technological refinements and implementation of standardized protocols could further optimize the clinical utility of these innovations. Future research should focus on long-term clinical outcomes and cost-benefit analyses to facilitate broader adoption and integration into routine endoscopic practice [15].

CONCLUSION:

Endoscopic techniques for the early detection of gastrointestinal cancers had significantly advanced, improving diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes. Innovations such as high-definition imaging, narrow-band imaging, and confocal laser endomicroscopy had enhanced lesion characterization and early diagnosis. Artificial intelligence-assisted endoscopy had further refined detection capabilities, reducing missed diagnoses. These advancements had led to earlier interventions, improving survival rates and reducing the need for invasive procedures. Despite these achievements, challenges such as accessibility and cost remained. Continued research and technological refinements were essential to further enhance the effectiveness and global implementation of advanced endoscopic techniques for early cancer detection.

REFERENCES:

1. Zhai W. Technical Advances in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy in the Diagnosis of Early Gastric Cancer. International Journal of Public Health and Medical Research. 2024 May 30;1(2):11-7.
2. Huang Y, Shao Y, Yu X, Chen C, Guo J, Ye G. Global progress and future prospects of early gastric cancer screening. Journal of Cancer. 2024;15(10):3045.
3. Ali H, Muzammil MA, Dahiya DS, Ali F, Yasin S, Hanif W, Gangwani MK, Aziz M, Khalaf M, Basuli D, Al-Haddad M. Artificial intelligence in gastrointestinal endoscopy: a comprehensive review. Annals of Gastroenterology. 2024 Mar;37(2):133.
4. Waddingham W, GrahamDG, Banks MR. Latest Advances in Endoscopic Detection of Oesophageal and Gastric Neoplasia. Diagnostics. 2024 Jan 30;14(3):301.
5. Qu HT, Li Q, Hao L, Ni YJ, Luan WY, Yang Z, Chen XD, Zhang TT, Miao YD, Zhang F. Esophageal cancer screening, early detection and treatment: Current insights and future directions. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. 2024 Apr 4;16(4):1180.
6. Fujishiro M. Advanced Diagnostic and Therapeutic Endoscopy for Early Gastric Cancer. Cancers. 2024 Mar 3;16(5):1039.
7. Suri C, Pande B, Sahu T, Sahithi LS, Verma HK. Revolutionizing Gastrointestinal Disorder Management: Cutting-Edge Advances and Future Prospects. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2024 Jul 8;13(13):3977.
8. Islam MS, Rony MA, Sultan T. Gastrovrg: Enhancing early screening in gastrointestinal health via advanced transfer features. Intelligent Systems with Applications. 2024 Sep 1;23:200399.
9. Guo X, Zhao X, Huang G, Yu Y. Advances in Endoscopic Diagnosis and Treatment of Gastric Neuroendocrine Neoplasms. Digestive Diseases and Sciences. 2024 Jan;69(1):27-35.
10. Ueyama H, Hirasawa T, Yano T, Doyama H, Isomoto H, Yagi K, Kawai T, Yao K. Advanced diagnostic endoscopy in the upper gastrointestinal tract: Review of the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopic Society core sessions. DEN open. 2024 Apr;4(1):e359.
11. Vishwanath A, Krishna S, Manudhane AP, Hart PA, Krishna SG. Early-onset gastrointestinal Malignancies: an investigation into a rising concern. Cancers. 2024 Apr 18;16(8):1553.

Biomedical Science Journal
ISSN 1021-7770 | E ISSN 1423-0127
Volume 28 Issue 1, 1101-1107
biomedicalsciencejournal.com

12. Mou X, Peng Z, Yin T, Sun X. Non-endoscopic screening for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: recent advances. Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer. 2024 Mar;55(1):118-28.
13. Rodrigo L, editor. Colonoscopy-Diagnostic and Therapeutic Advances: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Advances. BoD–Books on Demand; 2024 Mar 13.
14. Yoo JW, Laszkowska M, Mendelsohn RB. The Role of Screening and Early Detection in Upper Gastrointestinal Cancers. Hematology/Oncology Clinics. 2024 Jun 1;38(3):693-710.
15. Nammour T, Shah PM. Endoscopic Therapies for Early Stage Esophageal and Gastric Cancers. Surgical Oncology Clinics. 2024 Jul 1;33(3):487-95.